<u>BCS 206: Writing Workshop</u> Writing like a Cognitive Scientist

Please get in your small groups!

Dr. Whitney Gegg-Harrison College Writing, Speaking, and Argument Program, RR 306 whitney.gegg-harrison@rochester.edu

Writing Resources:

http://writing.rochester.edu

workshop goals:

- understanding the structure of research articles
- noticing and understanding rhetorical and organizational patterns within each section
- discussing reader expectations and how to manage them well in your own writing

<u>scientific "stories"</u>



• What's been said about it already?

- What's our hypothesis?
- What did we do to try to answer it?
- What did we find out?
- What does it MEAN?
- What more could be done?

structure of a research article

- What's the question? (Introduction/Background)
 - What's been said about it already?
 - What's our hypothesis?
- What did we do to try to answer it? (Methods)
- What did we find out? (Results)
- What does it MEAN? (Discussion)
- What more could be done? (Discussion/General Discussion)

making the right "moves": (Introduction Section)

Swales (1990): "Creating a Research Space" (CARS)

(these are pretty much universal, across disciplines)

- Move 1: (explain background)
- Move 2: (explain question/problem)
- Move 3: (preview the argument)

(These are explained in more detail in the handout)

<u>exercise 1: finding the "moves"</u> (Introduction Section)

- In your groups:
 - Look at the INTRODUCTION section of each paper
 - Highlight Move 1 material in **GREEN**
 - Highlight Move 2 material in YELLOW
 - Highlight Move 3 material in **PINK**

making the right "moves": (in the rest of the paper)

- How do you write a...
 - "methods" section...
 - "results" section...
 - "discussion" section...
- ... "like a cognitive scientist"?

"style" in science writing

- aim for clear and concise and direct
- (according to APA) use first person, active voice:
 - "We conducted experiments" rather than "Experiments were conducted"
 - see: <u>https://owl.english.purdue.edu/owl/resource/</u>
 <u>560/15/</u>
- coherence matters too: keep in mind what we know about language & information processing...

<u>a comic</u>



(C) 2008 Ryan North

www.qwantz.com

another comic



JURASSIC PARK GOT A LOT LESS SCARY WHEN THE RESEARCHERS DISCOVERED THEY COULD ACTIVATE THE GENE FOR EXTREME DWARFISM.

<u>reader knowledge</u>

- Readers are ALWAYS trying to "make sense" of what's been said
- When that's hard for them to do, the writing feels
 incoherent
 - And this will **always** depend on what your reader knows:
 - due to their own background
 - due to what you've already told them in your writing

reader expectations

- We process language so quickly by constantly making predictions about what's coming next
- When what comes next matches our expectations, we process it faster/more easily
- When it violates our expectations, it's hard for us to process it —> this makes writing feel incoherent

beginnings and endings



when this works well, writing feels "coherent"

take home points:

- Research articles have common structures
 - "IMR(A)D"; "CARS" for introductions, etc
 - But there is variety across subdisciplines, so pay attention to how papers in YOUR area do what they do
- Be clear, concise, direct, and coherent
 - Remember reader's expectations for information organization

contact information

Thanks for letting me work with you!

Please fill in a survey!

Dr. Whitney Gegg-Harrison

College Writing, Speaking, and Argument Program whitney.gegg-harrison@rochester.edu

Writing Resources:

http://writing.rochester.edu